NATIONAL RECOGNITION REPORT Preparation of Middle School Education Initial Teacher Preparation

NCATE recognition of this program is dependent on the review of the program by representatives of the National Middle Schools Association (NMSA).

COVER PAGE
Name of Institution
Arkansas State University
Date of Review
MM DD YYYY
02 / 01 / 2009
This report is in response to a(n):
Jn Initial Review
jn Revised Report
n Response to Conditions Report
Program Commed by this Project
Program Covered by this Review
Middle Level Education
Description of Theory
Program Type
First Teaching License
Award or Degree Level
jn Baccalaureate
Jo Post Baccalaureate

PART A - RECOGNITION DECISION

SPA Decision on NCATE Recognition of the Program:

in Nationally recognized

m Master's

- Nationally recognized with conditions
- Further development required **OR** Nationally recognized with probation [See Part G]
- h Not nationally recognized

Test Results (from information supplied in Assessment #1, if applicable)

The progr	ram meets or exceeds an 80% pass rate on st	ate licensure exams:
jn Yes		
jn No		
jn Not	applicable	
jn Not	able to determine	
Comi	ment:	
Sumr	nary of Strengths:	
experien	dle level teacher preparation program include ces that focus directly on successfully teachi ISA Middle Level Teacher Preparation Stand	ng young adolescents. Program assessments align
PART B	- STATUS OF MEETING SPA STANDA	RDS
	lle Level Courses and Experiences. Institut experiences that specifically and directly add	ions preparing middle level teachers have courses dress middle level education.
Met	Met with Conditions	Not Met
j n	j n	j n
Comi	ment:	
	dle level teacher preparation program include ces that focus directly on successfully teachi	•
	ified Middle Level Faculty. Institutions prewho have middle level experience and expense.	
Met	Met with Conditions	Not Met
j m	j m	j m
Comi	ment:	
Program	faculty members have middle level experier	nce and expertise.
concepts,		fiddle level teacher candidates understand the major young adolescent development, and they provide arning.
Met	Met with Conditions	Not Met
j n	j m	j n
	ment:	
This stan	ndard is met.	

Standard 2. Middle Level Philosophy and School Organization. Middle level teacher candidates understand the major concepts, principles, theories, and research underlying the philosophical

Met	ally within these organizational components. Met with Conditions	Not Met
j n	j m	j m
Comi	ment:	
This stan	ndard is substantially met. Student teaching data suggressment 7 (Portfolio VI, MLED 3073) includes the p	
ınderstan	dard 3. Middle Level Curriculum and Assessment and the major concepts, principles, theories, standards, m and assessment, and they use this knowledge in the	and research related to middle level
Met	Met with Conditions	Not Met
j m	j m	j m
	ment:	
This stan	ndard is met.	
entral co	dard 4. Middle Level Teaching Fields. Middle level oncepts, tools of inquiry, standards, and structures of the meaningful learning experiences that develop all year skills.	content in their chosen teaching fields, an
central co hey creat natter an Met	oncepts, tools of inquiry, standards, and structures of te meaningful learning experiences that develop all y	content in their chosen teaching fields, an oung adolescents' competence in subject Not Met
entral co hey creat natter and Met	oncepts, tools of inquiry, standards, and structures of te meaningful learning experiences that develop all year d skills. Met with Conditions	content in their chosen teaching fields, an oung adolescents' competence in subject
central co hey creat natter an Met jn	oncepts, tools of inquiry, standards, and structures of te meaningful learning experiences that develop all year d skills. Met with Conditions	content in their chosen teaching fields, an oung adolescents' competence in subject Not Met
central content of the content of th	ment: dard 5. Middle Level Instruction and Assessment. he major concepts, principles, theories, and research int, and they employ a variety of all young adolescents.	Not Met Middle level teacher candidates understarelated to effective instruction and lopmentally appropriate climate to meet the
central content of the content of th	ment: dard 5. Middle Level Instruction and Assessment. he major concepts, principles, theories, and research int, and they employ a variety of strategies for a develobilities and learning styles of all young adolescents. Met with Conditions	Not Met Not Met related to effective instruction and lopmentally appropriate climate to meet the Not Met
central content of the period	ment: dard 5. Middle Level Instruction and Assessment. he major concepts, principles, theories, and research int, and they employ a variety of all young adolescents.	Not Met Middle level teacher candidates understarelated to effective instruction and lopmentally appropriate climate to meet the
central content of the content of th	ment: Met with Conditions Met and S. Middle Level Instruction and Assessment. Met major concepts, principles, theories, and research int, and they employ a variety of strategies for a develobilities and learning styles of all young adolescents. Met with Conditions Met with Conditions	Not Met Not Met related to effective instruction and lopmentally appropriate climate to meet to Not Met
central content of they created and the standard use the assessment of the standard and the	ment: dard 5. Middle Level Instruction and Assessment. he major concepts, principles, theories, and research and they employ a variety of strategies for a develobilities and learning styles of all young adolescents. Met with Conditions	Not Met Not Met related to effective instruction and lopmentally appropriate climate to meet the Not Met
central content of they creat matter and Met Jo Common This standard use the assessment warying a Met Jo Common This standard common Stand	ment: Met with Conditions Met and S. Middle Level Instruction and Assessment. Met major concepts, principles, theories, and research int, and they employ a variety of strategies for a develobilities and learning styles of all young adolescents. Met with Conditions Met with Conditions	Middle level teacher candidates understant lopmentally appropriate climate to meet the Not Met in Not Met jn

Comment:

This standard is substantially met. Although MLED 3073 and other field experiences suggest candidates are being exposed to family and community issues, it is difficult to see where major concepts, principles, theories and research are integrated into their experiences.

Standard 7. Middle Level Professional Roles. Middle level teacher candidates understand the complexity of teaching young adolescents, and they engage in practices and behaviors that develop their competence as professionals

Met Met with Conditions Not Met

jn jn

Comment:

This standard is met.

PART C - EVALUATION OF PROGRAM REPORT EVIDENCE

C.1. Candidates' knowledge of content

Candidates' knowledge of content is documented in the program review report.

C.2. Candidates' ability to understand and apply pedagogical and professional content knowledge, skills, and dispositions

In addition to assessments 3 and 4, which are very good, analysis of Praxis II sub-test scores suggest the program is concentrating on this area.

C.3. Candidate effects on P-12 student learning

This requirement is met.

PART D - EVALUATION OF THE USE OF ASSESSMENT RESULTS

Evidence that assessment results are evaluated and applied to the improvement of candidate performance and strengthening of the program (as discussed in Section V of the program report)

Revisions have been made in the middle level teacher preparation program based largely on identified weaknesses discovered through the analysis of data.

PART E - AREAS FOR CONSIDERATION

Areas for Consideration

None

PART F - ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

F.1. Comments on Section I (Context) and other topics not covered in Parts B-E:

None

F.2. Concerns for possible follow-up by the Board of Examiners:

None

PART G - DECISIONS

Please select final decision:

Program is nationally recognized. The program is recognized through the semester and year of the institution's next NCATE accreditation decision in 5-7 years. To retain recognition, another program report must be submitted before that review. The program will be listed as nationally recognized through the semester of the next NCATE accreditation decision on websites and/or other publications of the SPA and NCATE. The institution may designate its program as nationally recognized by NCATE, through the semester of the next NCATE accreditation decision, in its published materials. National recognition is dependent upon NCATE accreditation. *Please note that once a program has been nationally recognized, it may not submit a revised report addressing any unmet standards or other concerns.*

Please click "Next"

This is the end of the report. Please click "Next" to proceed.